

Kentucky T/TA Work Plan

1. Please describe the scope and details of the planned on-site T/TA: The original request is; 1) To assist in gathering field input from using actual cases to determine the clinical usefulness and strengths and limitations of each assessment component., 2) To provide assistance in synthesizing findings from the field test of the investigative component of the DFA., 3) To help Kentucky examine how the state's efforts compare to national efforts and to develop next steps.

2. Has the Regional Office approved the on-site T/TA days? Yes

3. Timeframes for planned on-site T/TA: October 2005-November 2005 Other on-site days are planned as needed in 2006.

4. What are the various T/TA tasks and who is responsible(e.g., NRC, State/Tribe/County, RO Specialist etc.?)`

<p>Task 1: October 2005-November 2005 Other on-site days are planned as needed in 2006.</p>	<p>Responsible party: Debbie Dile and Lisa Durbin with workers, Mac Werner, Dawn Hanzel</p> <p>Due Date: December 30, 2005</p>
<p>Task 2:Make scale revisions; continue to edit all scales; no major structural revisions</p>	<p>Responsible party: Debbie Dile and Lisa Durbin with workers, Mac Werner, Dawn Hanzel</p> <p>Due date: 12/30/2006</p>
<p>Task 3: Scale revisions-December 14, 2005 Submitting scale revisions to Jim Lepianka-December 30, 2005</p>	<p>Responsible party: Central office workgroup with Denise Weider and Marian Call taking the lead</p> <p>Due date: 01/31/2006</p>
<p>Task 4: Review scoring; tie to critical thresholds based on data gathered; consider case findings</p>	<p>Responsible party: Steve Hartwig, Training, Policy, Legal, Theresa Costello and/or Pam Bond (NRC)</p> <p>Due Date: 02/28/2006</p>
<p>Task 5:Linda to meet with Jim Lepianka to create training plan (due in March) to discuss what is needed</p>	<p>Responsible party: im Lepianka, Linda? Marca ?</p> <p>Due date: December 5, 2005</p>
<p>Task 6: Communication and PR-someone in communication to talk to communication people; communicate who steering group is; determine different levels of communication and what is needed at different levels; control communication; FAQ website would be helpf</p>	<p>Responsible party: Communication and PR-someone in communication to talk to communication people; communicate who steering group is; determine different levels of communication and what is needed at different levels; control communication; FAQ website would be helpf</p> <p>Due date: 12/31/2005</p>

Task 7: Communication-explain proof of concept, how decided, timeline for project, share statistics on DFA, create webpage on Intranet, put information in Pipeline	Responsible party: Ruth Huebner, Jim Lepianka, Jeff Wright
	Due Date: 12/31/2006
Task 8: Policy-Make decision on neglect and when it is a new report	Responsible party: Lisa Durbin, Michelle Sanborn
	Due date: February/March 2006
Task 9: Technology-Let pilot staff know the laptops must be returned; DFA investigations-no more simulations	Responsible party: Ruther Huebner
	Due date: December 2005
Task 10: DV/CPS investigation DFA and policy-share with Jim	Responsible party: Lisa Durbin and Marian Call to share with Jim Grace
	Due Date: 12/31/2006
Task 11: Refinement of ongoing measures-workgroups-build simulation	Responsible party: Denise Weider
	Due Date: 12/31/2005
Task 12: Regional sessions-for supervisors and regional office managers related to critical thinking in prep for DFA implementation-overview of DFA; send critical thinking materials; provide TA on use of critical thinking seminars/design/application to safety decision making.	Responsible party: Theresa Costello and/or Pam Bond (NRC)
	Due date: 07/31/2006
Task 13: Workload management for supervisors-ideas for how to look at tasks-casework vs. administrative; provide information on approach to supervisor task identification	Responsible party: Jim Grace, Chris Workman, Theresa Costello
	Due date: 04/28/2006
Task 14: CQI changes to reflect DFA	Responsible party: Chris Workman
	Due Date: 09/30/2006
Task 15: Consider technical assistance on other measures; Provider consultation on TA resources/assist with larger TA plan if needed.	Responsible party: None Identified
	Due date: 09/30/2006
Task 16: Incorporate definitions of risk and safety into current training and into DFA materials	Responsible party: Theresa will send examples to Debbie Dile and Ruth Huebner
	Due date: 12/31/2006
Task 17: Provide TA on fatality cases and link to critical thinking; explore needs and create work plan; incorporate into supervisor's critical thinking work.	Responsible party: Theresa Costello and/or Pam Bond (NRC)
	Due Date: 05/28/2006

5. What are the plans for following up on-site T/TA and who will be responsible?

6. What are the timeframes for follow-up?

7. What are the expected outcomes for the organization and the children and families served as a result of the T/TA?

8. Will the expected outcomes of the T/TA be captured in any ongoing evaluation efforts?

9. If yes, explain.